2013/3/21

The 3rd Research meeting of Ultrahigh Precision Meso-Scale Weather Prediction

Neighboring Ensemble-based Variational assimilation scheme for a Cloud-Resolving Model

Kazumasa Aonashi (MRI & JAMSTEC)

1

EnVA: min. cost function in the Ensemble forecast error subspace

• Minimize the cost function with non-linear Obs. term.

 $J_x = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{X} - \bar{X}_f)P_f^{-1}(\bar{X} - \bar{X}_f) + \frac{1}{2}(Y - H(\bar{X}))R^{-1}(Y - H(\bar{X}))$

- Assume the analysis error belongs to the Ensemble forecast error subspace (Lorenc, 2003): $\vec{X} - \vec{X}^{f} = P_{e}^{f/2} \circ \Omega$ $\Omega = [\vec{w}_{1}, \vec{w}_{2}, ..., \vec{w}_{N}]$ $P_{e}^{f/2} = [\vec{X}_{1}^{f} - \vec{X}^{f}, \vec{X}_{2}^{f} - \vec{X}^{f}, ..., \vec{X}_{N}^{f} - \vec{X}^{f}]$
- Forecast error covariance is determined by localization $P^{f} = P_{e}^{f} \circ S$
- Cost function in the Ensemble forecast error subspace:

 $J(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} trace \{\Omega^{t} S^{-1} \Omega\} + \frac{1}{2} \{H(\bar{X}(\Omega)) - Y\}^{t} R^{-1} R^{-1} \{H(\bar{X}(\Omega)) - Y\}^{t} R^{-1} R$



Sample error-damping methods of previous studies

• Spatial Localization (Lorenc, 2003)

 $C_{sp}(x1, x2) = C_{ENS}(x1, x2) S(\Delta_{1,2})$

- Spectral Localization (Buehner and Charron, 2007) $\hat{C}_{sl}(k1,k2) = \hat{C}_{ENS}(k1,k2)\hat{L}_{sl}(k1,k2)$
 - When transformed into spatial domain

 $C_{sl}(x1, x2) = \int C_{ENS}(x1 + s, x2 + s)L_{sl}(s)ds$

• Variable Localization (Kang, 2011) $C_v(v1, v2) = C_{ENS}(v1, v2) \delta(v1, v2)$

Basic idea

- Sampling error damping method
 - Neighboring Ensemble
 - Separation of large-scale and local modes
- Space spanned by NE vertical SVD modes
- EnVA
 - Determination of cost function
 - Diagnolization of background term
 - Minimization of cost function
- Deriving the optimal for each member

Power spectral of horizontal ensemble forecast error (H~5000m) : Typhoon



 Precip and W are diagonal, other had significant amplitudes for low-frequency, off-diagonal modes.
 The presumption of the spectral localization "Correlations in spectral space decreases as the difference 6 in wave number increases" is valid.

Neighboring ensemble

- Hypothesis of Buehner and Charron (2007)
 Correlations in spectral space decreases as the difference in wave number increases.
- Spectral Localization

 $\hat{C}_{sl}(k1,k2) = \hat{C}(k1,k2)\hat{L}_{sl}(k1,k2)$

• When transformed into spatial domain

$$C_{sl}(x1, x2) = \int C(x1+s, x2+s)L_{sl}(s)ds$$

Spectral-Localized correlation is a weighted, spatiallyshifted average of correlation over the neighboring points.

 we approximated the forecast error correlation using neighboring ensemble (NE) members of the target points (5 x 5 grids).

サンプリング誤差の指標

- 指標として、遠方の偽高 相関域に着目
- 降水物理量の予報誤差の水平相関を計算した。
- 各粗格子点と0.5以上の 相関を持つ格子点の距離 の平均(DIST)を求めた。^{*}

COR_EN0712.04060915.kc=15260190 Precip



Averaged distance of area with horizontal correlation of precipitation over 0.5 (Typhoon case, H~3 km)



Separation of Large-scale and Local modes

- Scale differences between the precipitationrelated variables and the other variables.
- We separated the other variables into largescale modes (average over 65 km) and local modes (derivation from the average).



Space spanned by NE vertical SVD modes

- NE member (~2500) =
 Ensemble (100 mem.) x Grid box (5x5)
- To reduce degree of freedom, we use SVD modes of vertical cross correlation of NE forecast error
- Space spanned by the NE vertical SVD modes: $(u_{\alpha}, (1), u_{\alpha}, y(1))$

$$U_{NE}{}^{f} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_{h}M - 1}} \begin{pmatrix} u_{G 1}(1) & u_{G N}(1) \\ u_{G 1}(K_{h}M) & u_{G N}(K_{h}M) \\ & u_{g 1}(1) & u_{g N}(1) \\ & u_{g 1}(K_{h}M) & u_{g N}(K_{h}M) \end{pmatrix}$$

Horizontal correlation of Variables calculated from that of SVD modes at heavy rain area



0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1

EnVA

Determination of cost function

Cost function in the NE vertical SVD space

$$J_{U} = \frac{1}{2} (U^{a} - U^{f})^{t} P_{U}^{-f} (U^{a} - U^{f}) + \frac{1}{2} \{H(U^{a}) - Y\}^{t} R^{-1} \{H(U^{a}) - Y\}$$

$$\delta U^{a} = U_{NE}^{f} \circ \Omega^{a} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{G} \circ \Omega_{G} \\ U_{g} \circ \Omega_{g} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_{U}^{f} = P_{U,NE}^{f} \circ S = \begin{pmatrix} (U_{G}U^{t}{}_{G}) \circ S_{G} \\ (U_{g}U^{t}{}_{g}) \circ S_{g} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $J_{\Omega}: 1/2 trace \{\Omega_{G}^{at} S^{-1} \Omega_{g}^{a}\} + 1/2 \{H(\Omega^{a}) - Y\}^{t} R^{-1} \{H(\Omega^{a}) - Y\}$ = 1/2 trace $\{\Omega_{G}^{t} S_{G}^{-1} \Omega_{G}\} + 1/2 trace \{\Omega_{g}^{t} S_{g}^{-1} \Omega_{g}\} + 1/2 \{H(\Omega_{G}, \Omega_{g}) - Y\}^{t} R^{-1} \{H(\Omega_{G}, \Omega_{g}) - Y\}$

EnVA

Determination of cost function

 Since vertical SVD modes are independent, cost function results in that of horizontal components:

$$\begin{split} J_{\Omega} &-> J_{\Omega h} \\ &: 1/2 trace \{\Omega_{h}^{at} S_{h}^{-1} \Omega_{h}^{a}\} + 1/2 \{H(\Omega_{h}^{a}) - Y\}^{t} R^{-1} \{H(\Omega_{h}^{a}) - Y\} \\ &= 1/2 trace \{\Omega_{Gh}^{t} S_{Gh}^{-1} \Omega_{Gh}\} + 1/2 \{\bar{\chi}_{g} \bar{\chi}_{g}^{t}\} \\ &+ 1/2 \{H(\Omega_{Gh}, \bar{\chi}_{g}) - Y\}^{t} R^{-1} \{H(\Omega_{Gh}, \bar{\chi}_{g}) - Y\} \end{split}$$

Summary

- Sampling error damping method
 - Neighboring Ensemble
 - Separation of large-scale and local modes
- Space spanned by NE vertical SVD modes
- EnVA

– Determination of cost function